

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/752,575	12/29/2000	James Hermerding	042390.P9249	1629	
8791	7590 08/22/2006		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			YANCHUS III, PAUL B		
SEVENTH F			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2116		

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/752,575	HERMERDING ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Paul B. Yanchus	2116		

	Paul B. Yanchus	2116	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PLICATION IN CONDITION	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a No ving replies: (1) an amendm tice of Appeal (with appeal f	tice of Appeal. To avoid aba ent, affidavit, or other evider ee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or two MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WH D6.07(f).	e mailing date of the final rejecti EN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding a shortened statutory period for re than three months after the ma	amount of the fee. The appropr ply originally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37	'(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
	but prior to the date of filing	a brief will not be entered b	0001100
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (s		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of fin	ally rejected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number or im	any rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of N	Ion-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		parate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of fili d sufficient reasons why the	ng a Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> affidavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections unde	r appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) F		
		LYNNE H. BRON	WNE
		SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT	EXAMINER